- Sri MANIK RAO PATIL.—Sir, to avoid the breach of peace and to maintain law and order, we have made bandobast arrangements. Now the cases are before the Court, and therefore I cannot say anything at this stage.
- Sri M. S KRISHNAN.—Sir, in answer to (e), the names of persons who were rediculed by throwing cowdung water on them, have been given. Now the Hon. Deputy Minister says that the matter is under investigation. May I know which is correct?
- Sri MANIK RAO PATIL.—Sir, I never said that the cases are under investigation. Cases have already been investigated and both the cases have been charge-sheeted. I do not know how the hon. Member is presuming like that.

## Facilities provided to Journalists at Hadagali

- 7. Sri VATAL NAGARAJ (Chickpet).—
- Sri D. B. KALMANKAR (Aland) .--
- Will the Minister for Industries, Information and Publicity be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that a group of Journalists had been to Hadagali, District Bellary on invitation to cover the Governor's function on 18th September 1970;
- (b) whether it is a fact that the group of Journalists were not treated well ?
- Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY (Minister for Industries, Information and Publicity).—
  - (a) Yes.
- (b) No. As the press people who were expected at 8 r.m. on 17th September 1970, arrived at 3 a.m. an odd hour on 18th September 1970, arrangements made for the press people could not be availed by them.
- Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—May I know the names of the journalists who had gone there?
  - † Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTY.—I do not have the names of he ournalists. Some correspondents of some Dailies were there.
- Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—Is it a fact that the Hon. Deputy Minister has issued a statment to the Press expressing regret over the in ident?
  - Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY .- It is a fact.

- Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—If it is a fact that he has regretted the incident, why is it stated in answer to question (b) that "As the Press people who were expected at 8 P. M. on 17th September, 1970, arrived at 3 A.M. an od 1 hour on 18th September 1970, arrangements made for the Press people could not be availed by them". May I take this answer as incorrect?
  - Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY .- Both are correct.
- Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—Is it not a fact that our Deputy Minister was playing cards even after the information reached him that he did not make arrangements for a group of journalists?
- Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—The allegations are not only baseless but mischievous.
- Sri N. M. KOTRABASAIAH SOGI.—I want to make a personal explanation.
- Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—How can a personal explanation be made during the question hour?
  - Mr. SPEAKER.—After the question hour is over let him explain.
- Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—How can there be a personal explanation when the matter, as the Hon. Minister said, is baseless and mischievous? Can we take it that the Hon. Deputy Minister is contradicting the statement?
  - Mr. SPEAKER.—Hon, member can draw his own inference.

## Derecognition of Princely Order

- 8. Sri L. SRIKANTAIAH (Nanjangud). -
- Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:-
- (a) whether the Government is in receipt of the order of derecognition of the Princely order;
- (b) if so, the steps taken by the Government to give effect to the order:
- (c) the properties that had been recognised as personal or private property of Princes and as State or Government properties in the State of Mysore under the agreement with the Princely Order (details of the nature and kind of property may be given);
- (d) whether the palaces situated in Mysore, Bangalore and Ooty are State properties (the number of Palaces with their names may be given);
  - (e) whether the Government intends to make use of these Palaces ?